arXiv:1602.03575v1 [math.GR] 10 Feb 2016

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF TREES: GENERALITIES AND PRESCRIBED LOCAL ACTIONS

ALEJANDRA GARRIDO, YAIR GLASNER AND STEPHAN TORNIER

Abstract. This article is an expanded version of the talks given by the authors at the Arbeitsgemeinschaft "Totally Disconnected Groups", held at Oberwolfach in October 2014. We recall the basic theory of automorphisms of trees and Tits' simplicity theorem, and present two constructions of tree groups via local actions with their basic properties: the universal group associated to a finite permutation group by M. Burger and S. Mozes, and the k-closures of a given group by C. Banks, M. Elder and G. Willis.

1. Introduction

In the study of totally disconnected locally compact (t.d.l.c.) Hausdorff groups, groups of automorphisms of locally finite trees appear naturally and form a significant class of examples. They are also the most basic case of groups acting on buildings or CAT(0) cube complexes. Moreover, they play an important role in the structure theory of compactly generated t.d.l.c. groups through Schreier graph constructions (see [7, §11] and M. Burger's chapter).

In Section 2, we present general results and notions about automorphisms of infinite, locally finite trees. Section 3 deals with independence properties (the idea that restrictions of the action to subtrees are in some sense independent of each other) and a general form of Tits' simplicity theorem, Theorem 3.3. This theorem extracts abstractly simple subgroups from groups acting on the tree in a sufficiently dense and independent way.

We then present two constructions of closed subgroups of tree automorphisms with prescribed local actions and examine some of the local-global phenomena that they exhibit. First, we present in Section 4 the universal group construction by M. Burger and S. Mozes (see [2]), which to every permutation group $F \leq S_d$ associates a subgroup $\mathrm{U}(F) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ which locally acts like F (here, T_d denotes the d-regular tree). Properties of the groups $\mathrm{U}(F)$ are often determined by those of the finite group F. Universal groups are fundamental in the study of lattices in the product of the automorphism groups of two trees, analogous to the study of lattices in semisimple Lie groups, and are key to the proof of the normal subgroup theorem (see [3] and L. Bartholdi's chapter). Second, we describe in Section 5 a variation of this construction by C. Banks, M. Elder and G. Willis (see [1]): Given $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ for an arbitrary tree T it produces a sequence of closed subgroups $G^{(k)} \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ which act like G on balls of radius k. This sequence converges to the topological closure $\overline{G}$ of G from above, in a sense to be made precise. We will use Tits' simplicity theorem to construct infinitely many, pairwise distinct, non-discrete, compactly generated, abstractly simple, t.d.l.c. groups. Finding simple t.d.l.c. groups (and in particular, compactly generated ones) has become relevant to the structure theory of t.d.l.c. groups thanks to results of Caprace–Monod [4], which state that such groups can be decomposed into simple pieces.

2. Generalities on trees

2.1. **Trees.** Let T be a simplicial tree with vertex set $V(T)$ and edge set $E(T) \subset V(T) \times V(T)$. We will always assume that $V(T)$ is countable and that T is not isomorphic to a bi-infinite line. Each edge $e \in E(T)$ is determined by its origin $o(e) \in V(T)$ and its terminus $t(e) \in V(T)$, so the edge $\bar{e} = (t(e), o(e))$ is the *inverse* of e. The pair $\{e, \bar{e}\}$ is a *geometric edge*. Given any edge $e = (x, y) \in E(T)$, the subgraph $T \setminus \{e, \bar{e}\}$ has two connected components T_x, T_y which we call *half-trees*. We think of T_x as a subtree rooted at x.

A *path* is given by a sequence of adjacent vertices, and it is a *reduced path* (or *geodesic*) if there is no backtracking in the sequence. Setting adjacent vertices to have distance 1 between them yields the standard metric on T, denoted by d. Given a vertex $x \in V(T)$, we set $E(x) := \{e \in E(T) \mid o(e) = x\}$ to be the set of edges starting at x and write $B(x, n)$ for the ball of radius n centred at x as well as $S(x, n) = \{y \in V(T) \mid d(x, y) = n\}$ for the sphere of radius n around x.

An isometric embedding of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ into T is called a *ray*. We will say that two rays $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to T$ are equivalent, denoting it by $\alpha \sim \beta$, if there exists some $R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(r + s) = \beta(s), \forall r \geq R$. We call the collection of equivalence classes of such rays the *boundary* of the tree and denote it by

$$\partial T = \{\alpha : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to T\} / \sim .$$

The rays α, β are *at bounded distance from each other* if the function $f(t) = d(\alpha(t), \beta(t))$ is bounded. This *a priori* weaker equivalence relation is actually the same as the previous one: it is impossible for two rays to be at bounded distance from each other without actually coinciding eventually.

We introduce a topology on ∂T (resp. on $T \cup \partial T$) by taking $\{\partial Y \mid Y \text{ is half a tree}\}$ (resp. $\{Y \cup \partial Y \mid Y \text{ is half a tree}\}$) as a basis of open sets. It is easy to see that this makes T into an discrete, open and dense subset of $T \cup \partial T$. When T is locally finite then both ∂T and $T \cup \partial T$ are compact with this topology.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be the automorphism group of T. Suppose that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and that Y is a subgraph of T. The *stabilizer* $\mathrm{St}_G(Y)$ of Y consists of elements $g \in G$ such that $gY = Y$, while the *fixator* $\mathrm{Fix}_G(Y)$ of Y consists of $g \in G$ such that $gy = y$ for every vertex y of Y. We say that G acts *edge-transitively* (respectively, *vertex-transitively*) if G acts transitively on geometric edges (respectively, vertices).

The topology of pointwise convergence on vertices gives $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ the structure of a Polish (i.e. metrizable, separable and complete) topological group. The basic open sets for this topology are of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(g, \mathcal{F}) := \{h \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid hx = gx \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

where $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite subset of $V(T)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is open for any finite $\mathcal{F}$. We will sometimes assume that the tree is locally finite (i.e. every vertex has only finitely many neighbors). In this case the balls $(B(x, n))_n$ around any vertex x are finite. Since these balls are preserved by the stabilizer $\mathrm{St}(x)$, this open subgroup is the inverse limit of its restriction to balls of finite radius and is therefore compact. Thus when T is locally finite $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is a t.d.l.c. group.

2.2. **Classification of automorphisms.** We will say that two directed edges are *co-oriented* if their orientations agree along the unique geodesic connecting them (see Figure 1). For an automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, define $\ell(\phi) = \min\{d(x, \phi x) \mid x \in T\}$ and $X(\phi) = \{x \in T \mid d(x, \phi(x)) = \ell(\phi)\}$. The minimum above is taken over all points x in the geometric realization of the tree. Thus, for example, if ϕ inverts an edge e then the set $X(\phi)$ will be the midpoint of the corresponding geometric edge. Due to the simplicial nature of the action, this minimal set is actually realized and we are allowed to talk about the minimum rather than the infimum of the translation length.

Definition 2.1. An automorphism ϕ is called *hyperbolic* if $\ell(\phi) > 0$, an *inversion* if it inverts an edge and *elliptic* if it fixes some vertex. It is clear that $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is a disjoint union of these three classes and that all three classes, which we denote by $\mathrm{Hyp}, \mathrm{Inv}, \mathrm{Ell} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, are clopen.

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and assume that there exists an edge e that is co-oriented with its image ϕe. Since being co-oriented is an equivalence relation on directed edges, it follows that $\{\phi^n e \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are all co-oriented along a bi-infinite geodesic X and ϕ restricts to a translation of length $\ell = d(e, \phi e) + 1$ along this axis. Once we have such an invariant axis, then for every vertex $x \in T$ we have $d(x, \phi x) = \ell + 2d(x, X) \geq \ell$ (see Figure 1). In particular, as our notation already suggests, $X = X(\phi), \ell = \ell(\phi)$. Thus, whenever



FIGURE 1. A hyperbolic element.

there exists an edge that is co-oriented with its image, ϕ is hyperbolic. Conversely, if $\ell(\phi) > 0$, let $x \in X(\phi)$, and let $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell(\phi)}$ be the geodesic connecting x to ϕx. The directed edge $e = (x_0, x_1)$ must be co-oriented with its image since otherwise we would have $d(x_1, \phi x_1) < d(x, \phi x)$, contradicting our choice of x. A similar picture shows that the general formula $d(x, \phi x) = \ell(\phi) + 2d(x, X(\phi))$ holds also for elliptic elements and inversions.

When $\phi \in \mathrm{Hyp}$ we denote by $a_\phi, r_\phi \in \partial T$ the two points of ∂T corresponding to the two extremes of the axis $X(\phi)$. The point a_ϕ, represented by the ray in the direction of translation, is called *the attracting point of* and r_ϕ is called its *repelling point*. Note that if $a_\phi \in A, r_\phi \in R$ are open neighborhoods, then for every large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\phi^n(\partial T \setminus R) \subset A$. Since ϕ^{-1} and ϕ share the same axis, but translate in different directions, we have $a_{\phi^{-1}} = r_\phi, r_{\phi^{-1}} = a_\phi$.

If we replace the tree by its barycentric subdivision (adding one vertex in the middle of every geometric edge), we retain the same automorphism group, but every inversion becomes an elliptic element on the new tree[1]. Using this trick we will assume from now on that there are no inversions in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$.

[1]Recall that we have ruled out the case of tree consisting only of one bi-infinite geodesic.

Definition 2.2. A subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is *purely elliptic* (respectively, *purely hyperbolic*) if all of its (non-trivial) elements are elliptic (respectively, hyperbolic).

2.3. **Purely elliptic subgroups.**

Lemma 2.3 (Tits)**.** *([8, Proposition 26]) Let $\phi, \psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be two elliptic elements with $X(\phi) \cap X(\psi) = \emptyset$. Then $\phi\psi, \psi\phi \in \mathrm{Hyp}$.*

Proof. Let $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ be the shortest geodesic from $X(\phi)$ to $X(\psi)$. Let $e = (x_0, x_1)$ be the first directed edge along this path. It is clear from Figure 2 that e and $\psi\phi e$ are co-oriented which proves the lemma.



FIGURE 2. Tits' lemma.

□

The following is a Helly type lemma, capturing the fact that a tree is essentially a one-dimensional object.

Lemma 2.4. *If X_1, X_2, X_3 are convex subsets of T with pairwise non-empty intersections then $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We refer to the indices modulo 3. Pick a point $y_{i,j} \in X_i \cap X_j$. By convexity, the geodesic from $y_{i,i+1}$ to $y_{i,i+2}$ is contained in X_i. Now, in any tree, every triangle has at least one point common to all of its edges (a tree is 0-hyperbolic). For the triangle with vertices $y_{i,j}$ this point will be in the desired intersection $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3$. □

Corollary 2.5 (Classification of totally elliptic subgroups)**.** *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be a purely elliptic subgroup. Then G fixes a point in $T \cup \partial T$. If G is finitely generated then it fixes a point in T.*

Proof. Assume first that G is generated by a finite set S. By Lemma 2.3,for any pair s_i, s_j of generators, $X(s_i) \cap X(s_j) \neq \emptyset$. Now, applying Lemma 2.4 successively, we find that $\bigcap_{s \in S} X(s) \neq \emptyset$, which proves the finitely generated case.

Assume that G is purely elliptic but does not fix any point within the tree. Given $x \in V(T)$ and $g \in G$ such that $gx \neq x$ we let $\sigma(x)$ be the neighbour of x in the direction of $X(g)$. The point is that σx does not depend on g as, by the finitely generated case, $X(g), X(h)$ are convex subtrees with a non-trivial intersection. Consider the geodesic ray $\alpha_x := (x, \sigma x, \sigma^2 x, \ldots)$. For two different vertices x, y we have $d(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \leq d(x, y)$ since we can choose some g that fixes neither x nor y and σ would then just be one step towards the convex set $X(g)$. Thus $\alpha_x \sim \alpha_y$ represent the same point in the boundary. Moreover this boundary point is fixed by G as $\alpha_x \sim \alpha_{gx} = g\alpha_x \in \partial T$. □

2.4. **Geometric Density.**

Definition 2.6. A group $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is called *geometrically dense* if it does not fix (pointwise) any end of T and does not stabilize (as a set) any proper subtree of T.

This notion appears as a condition in Tits' simplicity theorem (our Theorem 3.3). It can be generalized to much more general CAT(0) spaces, and in this capacity plays an important role in the work of Caprace–Monod [5, 6] who argue that it should be thought of as a a geometric analogue of Zariski density. For example, given a local field k and considering the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on its Bruhat–Tits tree, a subgroup $\Gamma < \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ is geometrically dense if and only if it is Zariski dense as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$. Here K is the algebraic closure of k.

Lemma 2.7. *If $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ contains at least one hyperbolic element then there is a unique minimal G-invariant subtree of T.*

Proof. If $g \in G \cap \mathrm{Hyp}$ then its axis $X(g)$ is contained in every nonempty convex G-invariant set (refer to Figure 1). Let $Y \subset T$ be the smallest convex set containing the axes of all hyperbolic elements of T. Since $X(hgh^{-1}) = hX(g)$ the collection $\{X(g) \mid g \in G \cap \mathrm{Hyp}\}$ is invariant under G and so is its convex hull Y. Thus Y is an invariant subtree which is contained in any other G-invariant tree. □

Remark 2.8. In the setting of the above lemma, let $L = \{a_g \mid g \in G \cap \mathrm{Hyp}\} \subset \partial T$ be the collection of attracting points of all the hyperbolic elements in G. As L is G-invariant, so is its convex hull $\mathrm{conv}(L) \subset T \cup \partial T$. Thus $Y = \mathrm{conv}(L) \cap T$ is a G-invariant tree. Since G contains a hyperbolic element g, the set L contains at least two points $\{a_g, r_g = a_{g^{-1}}\}$ and Y is non-empty. If we further assume that G is geometrically dense then $Y = T$ and consequently L must be dense in ∂T.

Lemma 2.9. *Every geometrically dense subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ contains a hyperbolic element. Furthermore, given any half-tree $Y \subset T$, the group G contains a hyperbolic element whose axis is contained in Y.*

Proof. Recalling that we have assumed away the existence of inversions, the existence of hyperbolic elements follows directly from Corollary 2.5. By Remark 2.8 above for every half-tree Y, ∂Y contains an attracting point a_h for some $h \in \mathrm{Hyp} \cap G$.

Let $g \in \mathrm{Hyp} \cap G$ be another hyperbolic element with attracting and repelling fixed points a_g, r_g. The conjugations $g_n := h^n g h^{-n}$ are again hyperbolic elements with $X(g_n) = h^n X(g), a_{g_n} = h^n a_g, r_{g_n} = h^n r_g$. If $a_g \neq r_h, r_g \neq r_h$ then, due to the proximal action of h on ∂T, all of these can be "pushed" arbitrarily close to a_h, and hence deep into the half-tree Y, by applying high powers of h. Thus it is enough to find such a g. We choose an element of the form $g = fhf^{-1}$ where $f \in G$. This is hyperbolic with attracting and repelling points $a_g = fa_h, r_g = fr_h$. Assume by way of contradiction that $f^{-1}r_h \in \{r_h, a_h\}$for all $f \in G$. Since G is a group this means that either the point $\{r_h\}$ or the set $\{r_h, a_h\}$ is G-invariant, contradicting our assumptions that G is geometrically dense and that the tree is not an infinite line. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be geometrically dense and assume that $N \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is a nontrivial group normalized by H. Then N is geometrically dense too.*

Proof. We start with the boundary. Let $A := \{\xi \in \partial T \mid n\xi = \xi \ \forall n \in N\} = X(N) \cap \partial T$. We claim that A is empty. Clearly A is closed and, since N is normalized by G, the collection of its fixed points is stabilized by G. If A consists of one point then this point is fixed by G, contradicting geometric density. If $|A| > 1$ let Y be the convex hull of A inside the tree T. This is a non-empty G-invariant subtree of T and, by the geometric density of G, we have $Y = T$. This readily implies that A is dense and hence $A = \partial T$, contradicting our assumption that N is nontrivial. Thus N has no fixed point on the boundary. A very similar argument shows that N does not fix any point inside the tree.

Since N does not admit global fixed points in $T \cup \partial T$, Corollary 2.5 implies that N contains hyperbolic elements. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, there is a unique minimal N-invariant subtree $Y \subset T$. This unique tree is stabilized by G. Since the latter is geometrically dense we actually have $Y = T$, which proves the theorem. □

3. Independence properties and Tits' simplicity theorem

For any finite or (bi-)infinite path C in T and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let C^k denote the k-neighborhood of C (i.e. the subtree of T spanned by all vertices at distance at most k from C). Denote by $\pi_C : T \to C$ the nearest point projection on C and let $T_x := \pi_C^{-1}(x) = \{z \in T \mid \forall y \in C : \ d(x,z) \leq d(y,z)\}$. If $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$, then, for each vertex x of C, the pointwise stabilizer $\mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})$ acts on T_x. Denoting by F_x the permutation group induced by restricting $\mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})$ to T_x, we obtain a map

$$\Phi : \mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1}) \to \prod_{x \in C} F_x$$

which is clearly an injective homomorphism.



FIGURE 3. The restrictions F_{x_i} of $\mathrm{Fix}_G(C^1)$ to the subtrees T_{x_i}.

Definition 3.1. We say that G satisfies *Property* P_k if for every finite or (bi-)infinite path C in T the map $\Phi : \mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1}) \to \prod_{x \in C} F_x$ defined above is an isomorphism.

Notice that when $k = 1$ we recover the original Property P defined by Tits ([10]) so we sometimes omit the subscript when referring to Property P_1. We remark that Property P is also known as Tit's Independence Property in the literature, because it

ensures that the actions on subtrees rooted at a path can be chosen independently from each other.

To find simple subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ we will use a generalization of Tits' theorem ([10, Théorème 4.5]).

Definition 3.2. Let

$$G^{+_k} := \langle \mathrm{Fix}_G(e^{k-1}) \mid e \in E \rangle$$

denote the subgroup of G generated by pointwise stabilizers of "$(k-1)$-thick" edges. In particular, $G^+ := G^{+_1}$ is generated by pointwise stabilizers of edges.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is geometrically dense and satisfies Property P_k. Then G^{+_k} is either simple or trivial.*

Proof. Figure 4 illustrates the proof.



FIGURE 4. Illustration of Tits' simplicity proof.

Write $H := G^{+_k}$. We assume that H is nontrivial as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let $N \neq 1$ be normalized by H. We will show that $N \geq H$, thus proving the theorem. Since H is generated by the pointwise stabilizers $G_e(k) := \mathrm{Fix}_G(e^{k-1})$, it is enough to fix an edge $e \in E(T)$ and show that $N \geq G_e(k)$. Let x, y be the vertices incident with e. Writing $F := G_e(k)$, by Property P_k, there is a decomposition $F = F_x \times F_y$. By the symmetry of the situation it is enough to show that $F_y \leq N$.

By Lemma 2.10 $H \lhd G$ is geometrically dense. A second application of the same lemma shows that N is geometrically dense too. Lemma 2.9 shows that there exists a hyperbolic element $n \in N$ with an axis whose k-neighbourhood is completely contained in the half-tree T_x. We denote the axis by $C = X(n) = (\ldots c_{-1}, c_0, c_1, c_2 \ldots)$ with $nc_i = c_{i+\ell}$ where $\ell = \ell(n)$ is the translation length. Assume that $c_0 = \pi_C(e)$ is the projection of the edge e on this axis.

We have to show that a given element $\phi \in F_y$ is contained in N. Applying Property P_k to the axis C we obtain $\phi = \ldots \phi_{-1}\phi_0\phi_1\phi_2 \ldots = \phi_0$. In the last equality we used

the fact that $T_i = \pi_C^{-1}(c_i) \subset T_y$ for every $i \neq 0$ which implies that $\phi_i = \mathrm{id}$. Since N is normalized by H, we have $[n, \psi] = n\psi n^{-1}\psi^{-1} \in N$ for all $\psi \in H$. To prove that $\phi \in N$ it is enough to exhibit some $\psi \in H$ such that $\phi = [n, \psi]$. We will in fact find such an element in $\mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})$. If $\psi \in \mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})$ is an element we denote by $\psi_i = \psi_{T_i}$ its restriction to T_i. By property P_k we have the freedom to construct ψ by prescribing each element ψ_i separately.

With this notation we have $[n, \psi]_i = (n\psi n^{-1}\psi^{-1})_i = n|_{i-\ell} \circ \psi_{i-\ell} \circ (n^{-1})|_i \circ (\psi^{-1})_i$. Solving for $[n, \psi] = \phi$ we obtain two equations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i &= (\phi_i)^{-1} n|_{i-\ell} \circ \psi_{i-\ell} \circ (n|_{i-\ell})^{-1} \\ \psi_i &= (n|_i)^{-1} \circ \phi_{i+\ell} \circ \psi_{i+\ell} \circ n|_i \end{aligned}$$

where for the second one we shifted all indices by ℓ. Now assuming we have arbitrarily fixed the values of $\psi_0, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{\ell-1}$ we can now solve recursively for all other values of ψ_i using the first of the above equations for the positive values of i and the second one for negative values of i. This method enables us to realize any element $\phi \in \mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})$ as a commutator of the form $[\psi, n]$. Note that in our specific case we have $\phi_i = \mathrm{id}$ for all $i \neq 0$. This completes the proof. □

4. Universal Groups

In this section we introduce the universal group construction that was developed and studied by M. Burger and S. Mozes in [2], and later on played an important role in the study of lattices in the product of the automorphism groups of two regular trees, see [3].

Let $T_d = (V, E)$ be the d-regular tree ($d \in \mathbb{N},\ d \geq 3$). Recall that $E(x)$ denotes the set of edges with origin $x \in V$. Further, let $l : E \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be a legal labelling of T_d, i.e. for every $x \in V$ the map

$$l_x : E(x) \to \{1, \ldots, d\},\ y \mapsto l(y)$$

is a bijection, and $l(y) = l(\overline{y})$ for all $y \in E$. A ball of radius two in T_3 looks as follows:



Figure 5. A legally labelled ball of radius two in T_3.

Now, given $x \in V$, every automorphism $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ induces a permutation at x via the following map:

$$c : \mathrm{Aut}(T_d) \times V \to S_d,\ (g, x) \mapsto l_{gx} \circ g \circ l_x^{-1}.$$

Definition 4.1. Let $F \leq S_d$. Define $\mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F) := \{g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d) \mid \forall x \in V :\ c(g, x) \in F\}$.

It is immediate from the following cocycle property of the map c that the sets introduced in Definition 4.1 are in fact groups.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x \in V$ and $g, h \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$. Then $c(gh, x) = c(g, hx)c(h, x)$.* □

The groups of Definition 4.1 are termed *universal groups* because of Proposition 4.9 below. In words, they consist of those automorphisms of the regular tree which around every vertex act like one of the allowed permutations from F. To determine the dependence of $\mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F)$ on the labelling l, we record the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Given a quadruple (l, l', b, b') consisting of legal labellings l, l' of T_d and vertices $b, b' \in V$, there is a unique automorphism $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ with $gb = b'$ and $l' = l \circ g$.*

Proof. By assumption, $gb = b'$. Now assume inductively that g is uniquely determined on $B(b, n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and let $x \in V$ be at distance n from b. Then g is also uniquely determined on $E(x)$ by the requirement that $l' = l \circ g$, namely $g|_{E(x)} := l|^{-1}_{E(gx)} \circ l'|_{E(x)}$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let l and l' be legal labellings of T_d. Further, let $F \leq S_d$. Then $\mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F)$ and $\mathrm{U}^{(l')}(F)$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$.*

Proof. Choose $b \in V$. If $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ is the automorphism of T_d associated to (l, l', b, b) by Lemma 4.3, then $\mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F) = \tau \mathrm{U}^{(l')}(F)\tau^{-1}$. □

With Corollary 4.4 in mind, we henceforth omit the reference to an explicit labelling.

Example 4.5. Clearly, $\mathrm{U}(S_d) = \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where the number of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is d. To see this, fix $b \in V$ and for $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ denote by $e_i \in E$ the edge with $o(e_i) = b$ and $l(e_i) = i$. Further, let $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\})$ denote the unique label-respecting inversion of the edge e_i, which is associated to $(l, l, o(e_i), t(e_i))$ by Lemma 4.3. Then the subgroups $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \sigma_d \rangle$ generate the asserted free product within $\mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\})$ by an application of the ping-pong lemma. Finally, every $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\})$ is the unique automorphism of T_d associated to $(l, l, b, \alpha(b))$ by Lemma 4.3 which can be realized as an element of $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle * \cdots * \langle \sigma_d \rangle$ by composing the inversions along the edges that occur in the unique reduced path from b to $\alpha(b)$.

Lemma 4.3 also plays an important role in proving the following list of basic properties of the groups $\mathrm{U}(F)$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $F \leq S_d$. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) $\mathrm{U}(F)$ *is closed in* $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$.
(ii) $\mathrm{U}(F)$ *is locally permutation isomorphic to* F.
(iii) $\mathrm{U}(F)$ *is vertex-transitive.*
(iv) $\mathrm{U}(F)$ *is edge-transitive if and only if the action $F \curvearrowright \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is transitive.*
(v) $\mathrm{U}(F)$ *is discrete in* $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ *if and only if the action $F \curvearrowright \{1, \ldots, d\}$ is free.*

Proposition 4.6 illustrates the principle that properties of $\mathrm{U}(F)$ correspond to properties of F, which constitutes part of the beauty of the universal group construction.

Proof. For (i), suppose that $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d) \setminus \mathrm{U}(F)$. Then $c(g, x) \notin F$ for some $x \in V$ and hence the open neighbourhood $\{h \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d) \mid h|_{B(x,1)} = g|_{B(x,1)}\}$ of g is also contained in the complement of $\mathrm{U}(F)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$.

For (ii), let $b \in V$ and $a \in F$. Further, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ be the automorphism associated to $(l, a \circ l, b, b)$. Then $c(\alpha, x) = a$ for every $x \in V$ and hence $\alpha \in \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{U}(F)}(b)$ realizes the permutation a at the vertex b.

For part (iii), let $b, b' \in V$ and let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ be the automorphism of T_d associated to (l, l, b, b') by Lemma 4.3. Then $g \in \mathrm{U}_k(F)$ as $c(g, x) = \mathrm{id} \in F$ for all $x \in V$.

As to (iv), suppose that F is transitive. Given $e, e' \in E$, choose $\alpha' \in \mathrm{U}(F)$ such that $\alpha' o(e) = o(e')$ by (iii). Then pick $\alpha'' \in \mathrm{U}(F)_{o(e')}$ such that $\alpha''(\alpha' e) = e'$, by (ii) and transitivity of F, and set $\alpha := \alpha'' \circ \alpha'$.

Conversely, if $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is edge-transitive then $\mathrm{U}(F)_x$ acts transitively on $E(x)$ for a given vertex $x \in V$ and hence F is transitive by (ii).

For part (v), fix $b \in V$ and suppose that the action $F \curvearrowright \{1, \dots, d\}$ is not free, say $a \in F - \{e\}$ fixes $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(F)$ such that $\alpha|_{B(b,n)} = \mathrm{id}$ but $\alpha|_{B(b,n+1)} \neq \mathrm{id}$ as follows: Set $\alpha|_{B(b,n)} := \mathrm{id}$ and let $e \in E$ be an edge with $o(e) \in S(b, n)$, $t(e) \in S(b, n-1)$ and $l(e) = i$. Then we may extend α to $B(b, n+1)$ as desired by setting $\alpha|_{E(x)} := l_x^{-1} \circ a \circ l_x$ and $\alpha|_{E(x')} := \mathrm{id}$ for all $x' \in S(b, n) - x$. Now, inductively extend α to T_d such that $c(\alpha, x') = a$ whenever $x' \in V$ maps to x under the projection onto $B(x, n)$ and $c(\alpha, x') = \mathrm{id}$ otherwise.

Conversely, assume that $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is non-discrete. Then there are $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha|_{B(b,n)} = \mathrm{id}$ but $\alpha|_{B(b,n+1)} \neq \mathrm{id}$. Hence there is $x \in S(b, n)$ such that $c(\alpha, x) \in F$ is non-trivial and fixes a point. □

For the sake of clarity we extract the following statement from Proposition 4.6.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $F \leq S_d$. Then $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is compactly generated, totally disconnected, locally compact Hausdorff. It is discrete if and only if the action $F \curvearrowright \{1, \dots, d\}$ is free.*

Proof. The group $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is totally disconnected, locally compact Hausdorff as a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is generated by the compact set $\mathrm{U}(F)_b \cup \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$ where $b \in V$ is a fixed vertex and σ_i is the edge-inversion of Example 4.5. This follows from vertex-transitivity of $\mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\}) = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle * \cdots * \langle \sigma_d \rangle$: For $\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(F)$ pick $\beta \in \mathrm{U}(\{\mathrm{id}\})$ such that $\beta(\alpha b) = b$. Then $\beta\alpha \in \mathrm{U}(F)_b$, hence the assertion. □

4.1. **Simplicity.** Since $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is vertex transitive it cannot stabilize any subtree. Since it is transitive on the (directed) edges of any given color it is easy to see that it cannot fix any end of the tree. Thus $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is geometrically dense. We claim that the group satisfies Property P. For every $e = (x, y) \in E$ we have

$$\mathrm{U}(F)_e \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{U}(F)_{T_y} \times \mathrm{U}(F)_{T_x}, \ \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_x, \alpha_y)$$

where α_x is given by α on T_x and the identity elsewhere, and similarly for α_y. Then for $x' \in T_x$ we have $c(\alpha_x, x') = c(\alpha, x') \in F$ and $c(\alpha_x, -) = \mathrm{id}$ otherwise. This argument carries over to arbitrary finite or infinite paths in T_d.

As a consequence, the subgroup $\mathrm{U}(F)^+ := \{g \in \mathrm{U}(F) \mid \exists e \in E : ge = e\}$ of $\mathrm{U}(F)$ is simple in many cases. More precisely, we have the following.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $F \leq S_d$. Then $\mathrm{U}(F)^+$ is either trivial or simple. If F is transitive and generated by point stabilizers we have $\mathrm{U}(F)^+ = \mathrm{U}(F) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)^+$ and therefore $[\mathrm{U}(F) : \mathrm{U}(F)^+] = 2$.*



FIGURE 6. Illustration of Tits' simplicity criterion.

Proof. The simplicity assertion follows from Theorem 3.3. If F is transitive and generated by edge stabilizers then $\mathrm{U}(F)^+$ acts transitively on geometric edges. This implies the non-trivial inclusion in the equality $\mathrm{U}(F)^+ = \mathrm{U}(F) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)^+$: Namely, let $g \in \mathrm{U}(F) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)^+$ and fix $e \in E$. Choose $h \in \mathrm{U}(F)^+$ such that $h(ge) = e$. Then $hg \in \mathrm{U}(F)^+$ and hence $g \in \mathrm{U}(F)^+$. Since $[\mathrm{Aut}(T_d) : \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)^+] = 2$, the non-trivial coset being given by an edge-inversion, this implies $[\mathrm{U}(F) : \mathrm{U}(F)^+] \leq 2$ and equality follows from, say, the existence of edge-inversions in $\mathrm{U}(F)$. □

4.2. **Universality.** The groups $\mathrm{U}(F)$ are universal in the following sense.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $H \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T_d)$ be vertex-transitive and locally permutation isomorphic to $F \leq S_d$. If F is transitive then there is a legal labelling l of T_d such that $H \leq \mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F)$.*

Proof. Fix $b \in V$. Since H is locally permutation isomorphic to F, there is a bijection $l_b : E(b) \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $H_b|_{E(b)} = l_b^{-1} \circ F \circ l_b$. We now inductively define a legal labelling $l : E \to \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $H \leq \mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F)$. Set $l|_{E(b)} := l_b$ and suppose inductively that l is defined on $E(b, n) := \bigcup_{x \in B(b,n-1)} E(x)$. To extend l to $E(b, n+1)$, let $x \in S(b, n)$ and let $e_x \in E$ be the unique edge with $o(e_x) = x$ and $d(b, t(e_x)) + 1 = d(b, x)$. Since H is vertex-transitive and locally permutation isomorphic to the transitive group F, there is an element $\sigma_{e_x} \in H$ which inverts the edge e_x. We may thus legally extend l to $E(x)$ by setting $l|_{E(x)} := l \circ \sigma_{e_x}$.

To check the inclusion $H \leq \mathrm{U}^{(l)}(F)$, let $x \in V$ and $h \in H$. If $(b, b_1, \ldots, b_n, x)$ and $(b, b'_1, \ldots, b'_m, h(x))$ denote the unique reduced paths from b to x and $h(x)$, then

$$s := \sigma_{e_{b'_1}} \cdots \sigma_{e_{b'_m}} \sigma_{e_{h(x)}} \circ h \circ \sigma_x \sigma_{e_{b_n}} \cdots \sigma_{e_{b_2}} \sigma_{e_{b_1}} \in H_b$$

and we have $c(h, x) = c(s, b) \in F$ by Lemma 4.2. □

4.3. **Structure of a Point Stabilizer.** In this section, we exhibit a point stabilizer in $\mathrm{U}(F)$ as a profinite group in terms of F for transitive $F \leq S_d$. To this end, let $b \in V$, $\Delta := \{1, \ldots, d\}$, $D := \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and set $\Delta_n := \Delta \times D^{n-1}$. We fix bijections $b_n : S(b, n) \to \Delta_n$ as follows: Given that F is transitive we may for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ fix an element $a_i \in F$ with $a_i(i) = d$. Define inductively

(i) $b_1 : S(b, 1) \to \Delta_1,\ x \mapsto l((b, x))$, and
(ii) $b_{n+1} : S(b, n+1) \to \Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_n \times D,\ x \mapsto (b_n p_n x, a_{l(p_{n-1}x, p_n x)}(l(p_n x), x))$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $p_n : \bigcup_{k \geq n} S(b,k) \to S(b,n)$ is the canonical projection. We now capture the action of $\mathrm{U}(F)_b$ on $S(b,n)$ by inductively defining $F(n) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_n)$ as follows: Let $F_d := \mathrm{stab}_F(d)$, set

(i) $F(1) := F \leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1)$, and define
(ii) $F(n+1) := F(n) \ltimes F_d^{\Delta_{n+1}} \leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_n)$

to be the wreath product for the action of $F(n)$ on Δ_n. Further, let $\pi_n : F(n) \to F(n-1)$ denote the canonical projection. The bijection b_n induces the surjective homomorphism

$$\varphi_n : \mathrm{U}(F)_b \to F(n) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_n),\ g \mapsto b_n \circ g \circ b_n^{-1}$$

with kernel $\{g \in \mathrm{U}(F)_b \mid g|_{S(b,n)} = \mathrm{id}\} = \{g \in \mathrm{U}(F)_b \mid g|_{B(b,n)} = \mathrm{id}\}$ and one readily checks that the map

$$\varphi := (\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \mathrm{U}(F)_b \to \varprojlim F(n) = \left\{ (f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n) \,\middle|\, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \pi_n f_n = f_{n-1} \right\}$$

is an isomorphism of topological groups: Abbreviate $G := \varprojlim F(n)$. Clearly, φ is a bijective homomorphism. To prove that it is a homeomorphism, note that $\mathrm{U}(F)_b$ is compact and $\varprojlim F(n)$ is Hausdorff; therefore φ is closed and it suffices to show continuity. Let $U := G \cap \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ be a basic open neighbourhood of $f \in \varprojlim F(n)$. Then there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_n = F(n)$ for all $n \geq N$ and hence for every $g \in \varphi^{-1}(U)$ the open neighbourhood $\{h \in \mathrm{U}(F)_b \mid h|_{B(b,N)} = g|_{B(b,N)}\}$ of g is contained in $\varphi^{-1}(U)$.

5. Simple totally disconnected locally compact groups with prescribed local actions

The purpose of this section is to find new examples of t.d.l.c. groups which are (abstractly) simple, compactly generated and non-discrete. The motivation for this comes from classification results of locally compact groups by Caprace and Monod [4] which yield cases in which compactly generated t.d.l.c. groups decompose into (topologically) simple compactly generated non-discrete pieces. We are still at the stage of collecting examples of such simple groups, aiming in the long term for some sort of classification. The examples collected so far can be classified into the following types:

- simple Lie groups
- simple algebraic groups over local fields
- complete Kac–Moody groups over finite fields
- automorphism groups of trees, some CAT(0) cube-complexes, and right-angled buildings
- variations on the above (e.g. almost automorphisms of trees).

To obtain these new examples of compactly generated, simple, non-discrete t.d.l.c. groups, we shall introduce some "k-thickened" (for $k \in \mathbb{N}$) variations of the universal group construction: the *k-closures* of a given $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$. These prescribe the action on all balls of radius k by elements of G. We will then see that the k-closure of a group of tree automorphisms satisfies Property P_k and use Theorem 3.3 to obtain abstractly simple t.d.l.c. groups which are compactly generated. The last step will be ensuring that these are non-discrete and different.

5.1. k-closures and Property P_k.

Definition 5.1. Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The *k-closure* of G is

$$G^{(k)} := \{h \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid \forall x \in V(T) : \exists g \in G : h|_{B(x,k)} = g|_{B(x,k)}\},$$

all automorphisms of T that agree on each ball of radius k with some element of G.

In this setting, G is the analogue of F in the definition of $\mathrm{U}(F)$, providing a list of "allowed" actions. However, here we do not require that the tree be regular. Note that a given $h \in G^{(k)}$ need not agree with the same element of G on every ball; the point is that for each ball there is *some* element of G agreeing with h, and they may all be different for each ball. The k-closure of G has the following basic properties, which justify the term "closure".

Proposition 5.2. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) *$G^{(k)}$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$.*
(ii) *For every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l > k$ we have $G \leq G^{(l)} \leq G^{(k)}$.*
(iii) *We have $\bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} G^{(k)} = \overline{G}$ (the topological closure of G in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$).*

Proof.

(i) To see that $G^{(k)}$ is indeed a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$, notice that if $a, b \in G^{(k)}$ and $x \in V(T)$ then there exist $g, h \in G$ such that $a|_{B(x,k)} = g|_{B(x,k)}$ and $b|_{B(ax,k)} = h|_{B(ax,k)}$, so $b\circ a|_{B(x,k)} = h\circ g|_{B(x,k)}$ and $b\circ a \in G^{(k)}$. Also, there exists some $f \in G$ such that $a|_{B(a^{-1}x,k)} = f|_{B(a^{-1}x,k)}$, so $a^{-1}|_{B(x,k)} = f^{-1}|_{B(x,k)}$ and $a^{-1} \in G^{(k)}$.

For the closure part, note that for each $a \notin G^{(k)}$ there is some vertex x_a such that no element of G agrees with a on $B(x_a, k)$. Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(T) \setminus G^{(k)} = \bigcap_{a\notin G^{(k)}} \mathcal{U}(a, B(x_a, k))$ is a union of basic open sets.

(ii) The group G agrees with itself on balls of all radii so $G \leq G^{(l)}$ for all l and if $l > k$ then $G^{(l)}$ certainly agrees with G on balls of smaller radius k, so $G^{(l)} \leq G^{(k)}$.

(iii) Since $G \leq G^{(k)}$ for all k and $G^{(k)}$ is closed, the closure of G must be contained in every $G^{(k)}$ and therefore in the intersection of all of them. For the other direction, we show that every element $a \in \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} G^{(k)}$ is a point of closure of G. Fix a vertex x and consider $\mathcal{U}(a, B(x, k))$; then, since $a \in G^{(k)}$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $g \in \mathcal{U}(a, B(x, k))$.

□

Just as $\mathrm{U}(F)$ satisfies Property P the k-closure of G satisfies Property P_k.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $G^{(k)}$ satisfies Property P_k.*

Proof. Let $C = (\dots, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ be any finite or (bi-)infinite path and suppose that $f := (\dots, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots) \in \prod_{x_i\in C} F_{x_i}$. To see that $f \in G^{(k)}$, pick a vertex v, which must be in T_{x_i} for some $x_i \in C$. By definition, f_i is the restriction to T_{x_i} of some $h_i \in \mathrm{Fix}_{G^{(k)}}(C^{k-1})$. Thus, if $B(v, k)$ is entirely contained in T_{x_i} then $f|_{B(v,k)} = h_i|_{B(v,k)} = g|_{B(v,k)}$ for some $g \in G$, since $h_i \in G^{(k)}$. And if there is some part of $B(v, k)$ outside T_{x_i} then both f and h_i act trivially on it. In either case, there is some $g \in G$ such that $f|_{B(v,k)} = g|_{B(v,k)}$ and $f \in G^{(k)}$. □

Satisfying Property P_k characterizes when the process of taking k-closures stabilizes.

Theorem 5.4. *If $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ satisfies Property P_k then $G^{(k)} = \overline{G}$ (and if $G^{(k)} = \overline{G}$ then $\overline{G}$ satisfies P_k by Proposition 5.3).*



FIGURE 7. Each of the automorphisms b_i acts on the subtree rooted at u_i.

Proof. We know from 5.2 that $\overline{G} = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} G^{(k)}$, so it suffices to show that $G^{(k)} = G^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq k$. To illustrate the proof, we only show the case $G^{(1)} = G^{(2)}$ (see [1, Theorem 5.4] for the full proof). Let $x \in G^{(1)}$. For each vertex v there is some $g \in G$ such that $x|_{B(v,1)} = g|_{B(v,1)}$; thus $xg^{-1} \in \mathrm{Fix}_{G^{(1)}}(B(v,1))$. Suppose that $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are the neighbours of v. Since $xg^{-1} \in G^{(1)}$, for each i there exist $a_i \in G$ such that $xg^{-1}|_{B(u_i,1)} = a_i|_{B(u_i,1)}$. So a_i fixes the edge (v, u_i). Because G satisfies property P_1, there exist unique $b_i, c_i \in G$ such that $a_i = b_i c_i$, where b_i only acts non-trivially on T_{u_i} (and c_i fixes T_{u_i}, see Figure 5.1). Then the product $b_1 \ldots b_m$ fixes all neighbours of v and v itself; that is, it fixes $B(v,1)$. Furthermore, c_i fixes T_{u_i}, so $b_i|_{B(u_i,1)} = xg^{-1}|_{B(u_i,1)}$ and hence $b_1 \ldots b_m|_{B(u_i,1)} = xg^{-1}|_{B(u_i,1)}$ for each i. Thus $b_1 \ldots b_m|_{B(v,2)} = xg^{-1}|_{B(v,2)}$ and $b_1 \ldots b_m g|_{B(v,2)} = x{-}1|_{B(v,2)}$. Since $b_1 \ldots b_m g \in G$ we conclude that $x \in G^{(2)}$, as required. □

More importantly, we deduce the following statement which will be used to find infinitely many distinct simple subgroups.

Corollary 5.5. *There are infinitely many distinct k-closures of G if and only if $\overline{G}$ does not satisfy Property P_k for any k.*

Proof. If $\overline{G}$ does not satisfy Property P_k for any k, then $G^{(k)} \neq \overline{G}$ (by Proposition 5.3). Hence $G^{(k)} \neq \bigcap_n G^{(n)}$ for all k and therefore the sequence $(G^{(k)})_k$ never stabilizes; in particular there are infinitely many distinct k-closures $G^{(k)}$ of G. For the converse, we have that $(G^{(k)})_k$ never stabilizes, therefore there is no k such that $G^{(k)} = \bigcap_n G^{(n)} = \overline{G}$ and so G does not satisfy P_k for any k. □

5.2. **Local rigidity for k-closures.** We digress a moment from our objective in this section of finding infinitely many simple groups, to point out a local-global result of Burger–Mozes that is relevant to k-closures.

Theorem 5.6. [2, Proposition 3.3.1] *Let $F \leq \mathrm{Sym}(d)$ be a finite 2-transitive permutation group on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and F_1 the stabilizer of a point under this action. Assume that F_1 is non-abelian and simple.*

Let T be a d-regular tree and $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ a vertex-transitive subgroup. If $x \in V(T)$ is any vertex we have a map

$$\phi : \mathrm{St}_G(x) \to \mathrm{Sym}(d)$$

given by the action of $\mathrm{St}_G(x)$ on $B(x, 1)$. Assume that $\phi(\mathrm{St}_G(x)) = F$. Write $K := \ker(\phi) = \mathrm{Fix}_G(B(x, 1))$ and consider the map

$$\phi_2 : K \to \prod^d F_1$$

given by the action of K on $B(x, 2)$.

Then $\phi_2(K) = \prod^a F_1$ with $a \in \{0, 1, d\}$ and we have the following dichotomy:

- *$a \in \{0, 1\}$ if and only if G is discrete.*
- *$a = d$ if and only if $\overline{G} = \mathrm{U}(F)$.*

We omit the proof of this theorem, but we quote it to emphasize that in some cases there are *local conditions* on a group G which already imply the stabilization of its k-closures. Indeed, if the action of G on every 1-ball is contained in F, then the case $a = d$ in the above theorem yields that $\overline{G} = G^{(1)}$.

Let k be a local field with integer ring $\mathcal{O}$, maximal ideal $\mathcal{P} \lhd \mathcal{O}$ and residue field $F = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$. For the action of the group $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on its Bruhat–Tits tree, the local action is given by the group $F = GL_2(F)$ and its action on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1 F$. Below we discuss the fact that in this case the sequence of k-closures $\{G^{(k)} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ never stabilizes and hence gives rise to an infinite sequence of simple groups containing G. The theorem of Burger–Mozes above implies in particular that this kind of behaviour would never be possible when the "local group" F is Sym(6), for example.

5.3. **Finding infinitely many non-discrete simple groups.** Returning to the main goal of the section, we have the following recipe to find simple subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$:

(1) start off with some geometrically dense $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$,
(2) form its k-closures (which all satisfy Property P_k),
(3) use Theorem 3.3 to obtain the simple subgroups $(G^{(k)})^{+_k}$.

We still need to ensure that these subgroups are non-discrete and different from each other, which will follow from the results below.

Lemma 5.7. *If $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ does not stabilize a proper subtree of T we have*

(i) *$(G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ is an open subgroup of $G^{(k)}$.*
(ii) *$(G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ is non-discrete if and only if $G^{(k)}$ is non-discrete.*
(iii) *$(G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ satisfies Property P_k.*

Proof. (i) The group $(G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ is generated by fixators of k-edges (in particular, fixators of finite sets of vertices), which are basic open sets. Hence it is open.
(ii) This follows from the facts that all subgroups of discrete groups are discrete and that all open subgroups of a non-discrete group are non-discrete.
(iii) Let C be some path in T. Since $G^{(k)}$ satisfies P_k we have that

$$\prod_{x\in C}\mathrm{Fix}_{(G^{(k)})^{+_k}}(C^{k-1})_x \leq \prod_{x\in C}\mathrm{Fix}_{(G^{(k)})}(C^{k-1})_x = \mathrm{Fix}_{(G^{(k)})}(C^{k-1})$$

and

$$\mathrm{Fix}_{(G^{(k)})}(C^{k-1}) = \bigcap(\mathrm{Fix}_{(G^{(k)})}(e^{k-1}) \mid e \text{ is an edge contained in } C) \leq (G^{(k)})^{+_k}.$$

□

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose that $G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is geometrically dense. Then $(G^{(r)})^{+_r} \leq (G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ for every $r \geq k$, with equality if and only if $G^{(r)} = G^{(k)}$.*

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that $(G^{(r)}) \leq (G^{(k)})$ for every $r \geq k$.

Suppose that $G^{(r)} = G^{(k)}$ and let $g \in (G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ be a generator (so that it fixes some edge $e = (v, w)$). Now, $(G^{(k)})$ satisfies P_k and therefore $g = (g_1, g_2)$ where $g_1 \in (G^{(k)})$ fixes T_w pointwise and $g_2 \in (G^{(k)})$ fixes T_v pointwise. In particular, there exist edges $e_1 \in E(T_w)$ and $e_2 \in E(T_v)$ such that g_1 fixes e_1^{r-1} and g_2 fixes e_2^{r-1}. Hence g_1, g_2 are generators of $(G^{(r)})^{+_r}$ and $g \in (G^{(r)})^{+_r}$, as required.

Conversely, if $(G^{(r)})^{+_r} \leq (G^{(k)})^{+_k}$ then for any $x \in G^{(k)}$ and any vertex v there is some $g \in G$ such that xg^{-1} fixes $B(v, k)$. In particular, xg^{-1} fixes e^{k-1} where $e = (v, u)$ is some edge starting at v. Thus $xg^{-1} \in (G^{(k)})^{+_k} = (G^{(r)})^{+_r}$, that is $xg^{-1} \in G^{(r)}$. Since $g \in G \leq G^{(r)}$ we conclude that $x \in (G^{(r)})$. □

Thus, in order to construct infinitely many distinct t.d.l.c. simple non-discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ it suffices to find examples with infinitely many distinct k-closures. By Corollary 5.5, this amounts to finding examples which do not satisfy Property P_k for any k.

Example 5.9. The Baumslag–Solitar group $\mathrm{BS}(m, n) := \langle a, t \mid t^{-1}a^m t = a^n\rangle$ does not satisfy P_k for any k when m, n are coprime and the group acts on its Bass–Serre tree (which is isomorphic to T_{m+n}).

Recall that T, the Bass–Serre tree of $\mathrm{BS}(m, n)$, has vertices the left (say) cosets of $\langle a\rangle$ and (directed) edges labelled by $t^{\pm 1}$ from $u\langle a\rangle$ to $v\langle a\rangle$ if and only if there is some i such that $v\langle a\rangle = ua^i t^{\pm 1}\langle a\rangle$. $BS(m, n)$ acts on T by left multiplication and, in the non-solvable case (when neither m nor n equals 1), this action is geometrically dense.

We claim that when m, n are coprime $G := BS(m, n)$ does not satisfy P_k for any k. To see this (Figure 5.9 may be helpful), consider the edge $e = (\langle a\rangle, t^{-1}\langle a\rangle)$. We will show that $\mathrm{Fix}_G(T_{\langle a\rangle}) = \mathrm{Fix}_G(T_{t^{-1}\langle a\rangle})$ while $\mathrm{Fix}_G(e^{k-1}) \neq 1$ (it contains, for instance, a^n). Let $x \in \mathrm{Fix}_G(T_{t^{-1}\langle a\rangle})$, then x must also fix $\langle a\rangle$ as all other neighbours of $t^{-1}\langle a\rangle$ are fixed by x. Thus x must be of the form a^* where $*$ is a multiple of n; say $x = a^{cn^j}$ for some $c, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j > 0$ and c not divisible by n. Note that $T_{t^{-1}\langle a\rangle}$ contains vertices of the form $(t^{-1}a)^i t^{-1}\langle a\rangle$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick some $i \geq j$. If $x = a^{cn^j}$ fixes $(t^{-1}a)^i t^{-1}\langle a\rangle$ then, since $ta^{cn^j}t^{-1} = (ta^n t^{-1})^{cn^{j-1}} = a^{mcn^{j-1}}$, we have $a^{cn^j}(t^{-1}a)^i t^{-1} =$



FIGURE 8. Part of the Bass-Serre tree for $BS(2,3)$. The nodes are labelled by their coset representatives. The arrows on the edges indicate travelling in the t direction.

$(t^{-1}a)^j a^{cm^j}(t^{-1}a)^{i-j}t^{-1} = (t^{-1}a)^i t^{-1}a^*$. For the last equality to hold, cm^j must be a multiple of n, which it cannot be by the assumption that m, n are coprime and the choice of c, unless $c = 0$. Thus we must have $x = 1$. A similar argument yields that $\mathrm{Fix}_G(T_{\langle a\rangle}) = 1$.

Example 5.10. The group $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ acting on its Bruhat–Tits tree (which is isomorphic to T_{p+1}) also does not satisfy P_k for any k. Indeed it is well known that the action of G on ∂T is isomorphic to the action of the same group on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by fractional linear transformations. In particular the stabilizer of three boundary points is trivial. This means that an element of G is completely determined by its action on three distinct points of ∂T (these elements should be thought of as p-adic Möbius transformations).

Now let $C = (\ldots, c_{-1}, c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots)$ be an infinite or finite geodesic. And assume that $(\ldots f_0, f_1, f_2, \ldots) \in \prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} F_i$ with $f_i \in \mathrm{Fix}_G(C^{k-1})|_{T_i}$. Then each such f_i is defined on ∂T_i which contains many boundary points. Hence each f_i admits a unique extension to the whole tree. This is a strong obstruction to satisfying Property P_k, for any k.

We note that this method finds infinitely many t.d.l.c. simple non-discrete groups which are pairwise distinct as subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$. It would be desirable to know whether these subgroups are pairwise non-isomorphic. This is stated as work in progress in [1]. Using different methods, S. Smith ([9]) has found uncountably many t.d.l.c. simple non-discrete groups which are pairwise non-isomorphic. This is discussed by C. Reid and G. Willis in their chapter.

References

[1] C. Banks and M. Elder and G. A. Willis, *Simple groups of automorphisms of trees determined by their actions on finite subtrees*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2311v2`.

[2] M. Burger and S. Mozes, *Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **92** (2000), 113–150.

[3] M. Burger and S. Mozes, *Lattices in product of trees*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **92** (2000), 151–194.

[4] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod, *Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150** Nr. 1 (2011), 97–128.

[5] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod. *Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: structure theory*, J. Topol. 2(4) (2009), 661–700.

[6] P.-E. Caprace and N. Monod, *Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: discrete subgroups*, J. Topol. 2(4) (2009), 701–746.

[7] N. Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*, LNM vol. 1758 (Springer 2001).

[8] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics.

[9] S. M. Smith, *A product for permutation groups and topological groups*, 2014, arXiv pre-print, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1407.5697`

[10] J. Tits, *Sur le groupe d'automorphismes d'un arbre*, in Essays on Topology and Related Topics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1970), 188–211.